

THE MAGNIFICENT 7, AI, AND CONCENTRATION RISK

While there is no question that Artificial Intelligence will transform the economy, how can investors maintain portfolio balance amid the boom in "Magnificent 7" tech stocks?

The challenge facing investors today isn't whether artificial intelligence will transform the economy, but how to maintain portfolio balance as the market climbs to new all-time highs. While it's tempting to focus exclusively on companies that have performed well recently, investing for long-term goals requires a thoughtful approach to both growth and risk management.

In market environments like these, when a relatively small group of stocks drives much of the market's performance, it becomes even more important to focus on historical perspectives, current valuations, and asset allocation. Understanding how similar periods of market concentration have played out in the past can help investors make better decisions about their long-term financial plans.

Innovation Drives Markets in the Long Run

It may seem as if AI and railroads have little in common, but history shows that transformative technologies follow similar patterns. In the 1860s, railroad stocks dominated American markets much like technology stocks do today. In fact, the Pennsylvania Railroad company was once the largest company in the world and alongside other railroads, represented a significant share of the overall stock market. Naturally, this created market enthusiasm and rising valuations that would sound familiar to modern investors.

This pattern has repeated many times across history. The dot-com boom of the 1990s, during which investors focused almost exclusively on internet companies, provides the clearest recent example. But going as far back as the 19th century, the telegraph, electric power, and telephones transformed cities and created many new companies. In the 20th century, the electronics and computer revolution reshaped all aspects of life and business, even before the invention of the internet.

The Stock Market and Technological Innovation

S&P 500 Index Since 1928 and Select Innovations (Log Scale)



Sources: Clearnomics, Standard & Poor's. Latest data point is Aug 13, 2025.

Each of these waves followed a similar pattern: skepticism, rapid adoption, market enthusiasm, and eventual integration into the broader economy. Railroads didn't disappear but became a standard part of the transportation and shipping industry, supporting the overall economy. While many dot-com companies did fail in the late 1990s and early 2000s, many others went on to become today's technology leaders.

When it comes to long-term investing, it's important to focus not just on individual companies, but on the impact of new technologies on the broader market and economy. After all, the true impact of innovation is greater productivity and efficiency across all businesses. The key difference is that while the stock prices of individual companies may rise and fall quickly, it takes much longer for the full economy-wide effects to be felt.

INSIGHTS

History Shows That Valuations Matter as Much as Growth

Today, the question isn't whether AI will matter, but whether current valuations are reasonable. With the overall S&P 500 trading at a 22.5x price-to-earnings ratio, one that is approaching the all-time high of 24.5x, investors are paying a premium that assumes these trends will continue at the same pace.

What's driving high valuations for the Magnificent 7? First, according to recent estimates, U.S. private AI investment reached \$109 billion in 2024, with hundreds of billions more announced this year. This exceeds the entire GDP of many countries and dwarfs similar investments by others. Second, many have rapidly adopted AI tools, creating more and more demand for computing power. This explains why "hyperscalers" like Microsoft and NVIDIA have seen their market capitalizations soar, with both companies reaching valuations over \$4 trillion. This is also why demand for new data centers, and the power needed to run them, are top of mind for investors.

Magnificent 7 Performance

Meta, Amazon, Apple, Alphabet, Nvidia, Microsoft, and Tesla. Simple Equal-Weighted Performance Versus the S&P 500 and Nasdaq Composite, Since 2020



Sources: Clearnomics, Standard & Poor's, Nasdaq, LSEG. Latest data point is Aug 13, 2025.

The challenge is that markets often overestimate the speed at which transformative technologies will generate profits, even when the long-term potential may be real. The 1990s offer a cautionary parallel. During that decade, some believed that traditional valuation metrics no longer applied to internet companies. When reality didn't meet expectations, the Nasdaq fell 78% from its peak, and many companies failed. Yet the internet did transform the economy, just not within the timeline or in the manner that peak valuations implied.

Balancing Opportunities With Concentration Risk

Similarly, while the Magnificent 7 companies may have led the market on the way up, they have also done so on the way down. For example, in 2022 when interest rates rose quickly due to inflation, these stocks dropped about 50% on average.

Since the Magnificent 7 now represents such a large portion of major market indices, the reality is that nearly all investors have these stocks in their portfolios. For those who have focused on technology stocks, their portfolio allocations may be greater than intended. Holding too much of a portfolio in just a few investments is known as "concentration risk," and is the opposite of diversification. While these companies have demonstrated growth and profitability, having a large portion of your portfolio dependent on a small group of companies, regardless of how successful they are, creates volatility as trends change.

For perspective, the equal-weighted S&P 500, which gives the same importance to each company regardless of size, has historically provided different return patterns than the standard market-capitalization weighted index, sometimes performing better when large companies struggle. Since mega cap tech companies have performed well recently, some investors may find it surprising that an equal weighted index has still outperformed over the past 30 years.

This highlights the importance of not just focusing on what has driven markets recently and what happens to be in the headlines. Certainly, investors should not avoid technology stocks entirely. Rather, history demonstrates the importance of maintaining balance and an appropriate asset allocation.



INSIGHTS

Disclosure

Legacy Capital Wealth Partners, LLC ("Legacy Capital") is a registered investment advisor. Advisory services are only offered to clients or prospective clients where Legacy Capital and its representatives are properly licensed or exempt from licensure.

The information provided is for educational and informational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice, nor should not be relied on as such. It should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell a security. It does not take into account any investor's particular investment objectives, strategies, tax status or investment horizon. You should consult with your attorney or tax advisor.

The views expressed in this commentary are subject to change based on market and other conditions. These documents may contain certain statements that may be deemed forward looking statements. Please note that any such statements are not guarantees of any future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected. Any projections, market outlooks, or estimates are based upon certain assumptions and should not be construed as indicative of actual events that will occur.

All information has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed. There is no representation or warranty as to the current accuracy, reliability, or completeness of, nor liability for, decisions based on such information and it should not be relied on as such.

